

TELANAGANA STATE ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION 5th Floor, Singareni Bhavan, Red Hills, Hyderabad-500 004

O. P. No. 49 of 2015 And I. A. No. 18 of 2015

Dated: 04.01.2016

Present Sri. Ismail Ali Khan, Chairman Sri. H. Srinivasulu, Member Sri. L. Manohar Reddy, Member

Between

M/s Corporate Power Limited Level – 01, 79/4, Prashant Nagar, Ajni, Nagpur (Maharashtra) – 440 012

Petitioner in I. A. No. 18 of 2015 also

AND

Southern Power Distribution Company of Telangana Ltd (TSSPDCL) (Formerly APCPDCL (Central Power Distribution Company of AP Limited), 6 - 1 - 50, Corporate Office, Mint Compound Hyderabad – 500063

Northern Power Distribution Company of Telangana Ltd. (TSNPDCL) (Formerly APNPDCL (Northern Power Distribution Company of AP Limited), Opp: NIT Petrol Pump, Chaitanyapuri, Warangal – 506 001

APEPDCL (Eastern Power Distribution Company of AP Limited), Corporate Office, P&T Colony, Seethamma Dara, Visakhapatnam – 530 013

APSPDCL (Southern Power Distribution Company of AP Limited). H.No. 193 – 93 (M) Upstairs, Renigunta Road, Tirupati – 517 501 Respondents

Respondents in I. A. No. 18 of 2015 also

This petition coming up for hearing on 27.04.2015, 07.12.2015 and 23.12.2015 for hearing. There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Standing Counsel for the Respondent, is present on all the days. The petition and application having stood for consideration to the date, the Commission passed the following:

ORDER

This petition is filed under the provision of Section 86 (1) (f) of the Electricity Act, 2003 read with Article 14.3.2 of the power purchase agreement for resolution of disputes by appointment of Arbitrators.

2. The petitioner has also filed an application seeking to withdraw the original petition filed by it and approach the Hon'ble High Court.

3. The petition was taken on file of the Commission under the above said OP No. and the interlocutory application in the above I. A. The petitions were listed for hearing on three occasions namely 27.04.2015, 07.12.2015 and 23.12.2015. There was no representation on behalf of the petitioner on all the three occasions, therefore, it is deemed that the petitioner is not inclined to prosecute the petition filed by it.

4. Accordingly, the present petition along with the interlocutory application are dismissed for default for non appearance of the petitioner.

This order is corrected and signed on this 4th day of January, 2016Sd/-Sd/-Sd/-Sd/-(L. MANOHAR REDDY)(H. SRINIVASULU)MEMBERMEMBERCHAIRMAN

CERTIFIED COPY